

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
)9/530,929	05/04/00	KESSLER	٦	С	4817/OP
	CULAR SYSTEMS	10400 (004.0			EXAMINER
-022829 -ROCHE MOLE		HM22/0910 MS INC		SISS01	N, B
PATENT LAW	DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1145 ATLAN ALAMEDA CA	TIC AVENUE 94501			1655	10
				DATE MAILED:	09/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev.11/00) 1- File Copy

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/530,929	KESSLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bradley L Sisson	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.3	luly 2001 .					
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Tr	adamark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/530,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark TRITON X-100 has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the method of claim 1 with the added limitations of the nucleic acid being derived from isolated HCV RNA found in human plasma, does not reasonably provide enablement for the detection of any number of nucleic acid sequences simultaneously nor does it enable the use of any set of conditions such that non-specific hybridization reaction products are realized, nor does it enable the making and use of primers of any length nor the generation of an amplification product of any length. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Factors to be considered in determining whether a

Art Unit: 1655

disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in *In re Wands*, 8
USPQ2d 1400 (CAFC 1988). They include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2)
the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples,
(4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the
art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The Quantity of Experimentation Necessary

The quantity of experimentation need is great, on the order of several man-years and then with little, if any, reasonable expectation of success.

The Amount of Direction or Guidance Provided

The amount so guidance provided is extremely limited to detection of HCV RNA isolated from human plasma.

The Presence or Absence of Working Examples

The specification provides 5 examples:

Example 1, Detection of HCV from human blood; pages 47-50.

Example 2, Determination of the analytical sensitivity on the basis of an RNA standard dilution series; pages 50-51.

Example 3, Examination of the specificity of the HCV assay; page 52.

Example 4, Examination of the probe specificity; page 53; conditions under which hybridization was performed are not disclosed.

Example 5, Detection of HCV using alternative primers; page 54; prophetic.

Application/Control Number: 09/530,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

The Nature of the Invention

The claimed invention relates directly to matters of physiology and chemistry, which are inherently unpredictable and as such, require greater levels of enablement. As noted in *In re Fisher* 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA, 1970):

In cases involving predictable factors, such as that, once imagined, other embodiments can be made without difficulty and their performance characteristics predicted by resort to known scientific laws. In cases involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, the scope of enablement obviously varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved.

The State of the Prior Art

The state of the prior art has advanced to the point where the art now recognizes that there are a number of issues confronting hybridization reactions and that they need to be properly addressed in order to enable a method predicted upon such method steps. As set forth in Carrico, (US Patent 5,200,313) the extent and specificity of hybridization is affected by the following principal conditions:

- 1. The purity of the nucleic acid preparation.
- 2. Base compositions of the probe G-C base pairs will exhibit greater thermal stability than A-T or A-U base pairs. Thus, hybridizations involving higher G-C content will be stable at higher temperatures.
- 3. Length of homologous base sequences- Any short sequence of bases (e.g., less than 6 bases), has a high degree of probability of being present in many nucleic acids. Thus, little or no

Application/Control Number: 09/530,929 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

specificity can be attained in hybridizations involving such short sequences. From a practical standpoint, a homologous probe sequence will often be between 300 and 1000 nucleotides.

- 4. Ionic strength- The rate of reannealing increases as the ionic strength of the incubation solution increases. Thermal stability of hybrids also increases.
- 5. Incubation temperature- Optimal reannealing occurs at a temperature about 25 30 °C below the melting temperature for a given duplex. Incubation at temperatures significantly below the optimum allows less related base sequences to hybridize.
- 6. Nucleic acid concentration and incubation time- Normally, to drive the reaction towards hybridization, one of the hybridizable sample nucleic acid or probe nucleic acid will be present in excess, usually 100 fold excess or greater.
- 7. Denaturing reagents- The presence of hydrogen bond-disrupting agents, such as formaldehyde and urea, increases the stringency of hybridization.
- 8. Incubation- The longer the incubation time, the more complete will be the hybridization.
- 9. Volume exclusion agents- The presence of these agents, as exemplified by dextran and dextran sulfate, are thought to increase the effective concentrations of the hybridizing elements thereby increasing the rate of resulting hybridizations.

Further, subjecting the resultant hybridization product to repeated washes or rinses in heated solutions will remove non-hybridized probe. The use of solutions of decreasing ionic strength, and increasing temperature, e.g., 0.1X SSC for 30 minutes at 65 °C, will, with increasing effectiveness, remove non-fully complementary hybridization products.

The art has also advanced to the point where it is now recognized that there is a limit to which primers can be synthesized and still retain high fidelity to the nucleotide composition. At column 40 of Jones (US Patent 5,858,671) the inherent obstacle in synthesizing oligonucleotide arrays is disclosed. As stated therein, "that even if the constituent enzymatic steps approach 100% completion, incompletely processed products can accumulate to significant levels. For example, during oligonucleotide synthesis of a 70-mer, requiring 69 couplings, a 99% coupling efficiency results in only 50% of the generated oligonucleotides being full length (0.99⁶⁹ = 0.50)." In the present case, applicant is claiming a product that would be the result of any number of couplings, not just 69 as described above.

The Relative Skill of Those in the Art

The relative skill of those in the art that is most closely associated with the claimed invention is high, on par with those that hold a Ph.D. in biochemistry.

The Breadth of Scope of the Claims

The claims have sufficient breadth of scope so to encompass the detection of any number of nucleic acid sequences in any type of a sample be it singly or simultaneous, and without regard to the similarity; note that non-specific primers are used in claims 16-19. The claims encompass both hybridization and amplification methodologies. As set forth by Sommer and Tautz, one can obtain primer extension products with as little as 2 nucleotides homology. The claimed method places no limit on the conditions under which primers are annealed/hybridized to their template. Accordingly, the claimed method is considered to encompass those conditions

Application/Control Number: 09/530,929 Page 7

Art Unit: 1655

whereby highly non-complementary sequences would still be used in an amplification assay and thereby yield meaningless results.

In view of the breadth of scope clamed, the limited guidance provided, the unpredictable nature of the art to which the claimed invention is directed, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the claims have not been found to be enabled by the disclosure.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite with respect to what constitutes the metes and bound to "essentially complementary." Claims 2-15, which depend from claim 1, fail to overcome this issue and are similarly rejected.

5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the sequence region E" in part (c). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 2-15, which depend from claim 1, fail to overcome this issue and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/530,929

Art Unit: 1655

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L Sisson whose telephone number is (703) 308-3978. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Bradley L Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

bls September 9, 2001